

For Private Circulation - Educational Purpose Only

(P-843)

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY



NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT JUDICIARY ON ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES RELATING TO WOMEN AND CHILDREN.

12th - 14th September, 2014

REFERENCE MATERIAL

COMPILED AND EDITED

AT

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

**NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT JUDICIARY ON
“ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES RELATING TO WOMEN AND CHILDREN” (P-843)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
ARTICLES AND JUDGEMENTS**

S.No.	Description	Page No.
ARTICLES		
1.	Mr. Justice P. Sathasivam “ <i>Women and Children- Role of Courts</i> ” (2013) 2 LW (JS) 11	1
2.	P. Sathasivam “ <i>Offences Relating to Women and Children</i> ” (2011) 8 SCC J-1	13
3.	Justice R.C. Lahoti “ <i>Women’s Empowerment- Role of Judiciary and Legislature</i> ” (2005) 2 SCC J-49	35
4.	Sri T. Mathivanan “ <i>A Perception on the Protection of Women From Domestic Violence Act- 2005</i> ” (2008) 4 LW 62	41
5.	Dr. Justice Jitendra N. Bhatt “ <i>Gender Justice: Human Rights Perspective Triumph or Turmoil; Victor or Vanquished?</i> ” (2006) 4 SCC J-3	45
6.	Justice Shivaraj V. Patil “ <i>Children- Supreme Asset of the Nation</i> ” (2005) 2 SCC J-55	57
7.	Ved Kumari “ <i>Juvenile Justice Act- Rights and Reality</i> ” (2009) 8 SCC J 8	63
8.	Sri A. Ratnavelu “ <i>Power of Juvenile Justice Board in the Sessions Case under The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 (Act No. 56 of 2000)</i> ” (2004) 1 LW (JS) 11	85
9.	Dhvani Mehta “ <i>An Iron Fist in a Velvet Glove Draft Juvenile Justice Bill</i> ” Economic & Political Weekly; August 2, 2014 Vol. XLIX No 31	91
10.	Justice D.K. Upadhyaya “ <i>Making Legal Aid and Justice for women from Investigation to Decision a Reality, through a Sensitized Judiciary</i> ” http://upslsa.up.nic.in/speech%205%20Hon ble Mr. Justice D.K. Upadhyaya1.pdf	95
11.	Anil Malhotra And Ranjit Malhotra “ <i>All Aboard For The Fertility Express Surrogacy And Human Rights In India</i> ” Yearbook of Private International Law, Volume 14 (2012/2013), pp. 455-464	109
JUDGEMENTS		
12.	Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar MANU/SC/0559/2014 Decided On: 02.07.2014 [No arrest under Anti - Dowry Law without magistrate’s nod - its police officers not to automatically arrest when a case Under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code is registered but to satisfy themselves about the necessity for arrest under the parameters laid down above flowing from Section 41, Code of Criminal Procedure]	119
13.	Shamim Bano Vs.Asraf Khan 2014(5) SCALE299 [The amount of maintenance to be awarded Under Section 125 Code of Criminal Procedure cannot be restricted for the iddat period only.]	125
14.	Bhuwan Mohan Singh Vs. Meena MANU/SC/0605/2014 Decided On: 15.07.2014 [Section 125 of the Code, a Magistrate is required to record reasons for granting or refusing to grant maintenance to wives, children or parents. Such maintenance can be awarded from the date of the order, or, if so ordered, from the date of the application for maintenance, as the case may be. For awarding maintenance from the date of the application, express order is necessary. No special reasons, however, are required to be recorded by the court---- To get maintenance in a speedy manner.]	131
15.	Santosh Bakshi Vs. State of Punjab MANU/SC/0535/2014 [The complaint, if made, by any woman alleging offence under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 committed by any member of the family, the matter is to be looked upon seriously. The Police without proper verification and	135

	<i>investigation cannot submit a report that no case is made out.]</i>	
16.	Davinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab MANU/SC/0560/2014 [Section 304 B of IPC read with section 113B of The Indian Evidence Act, 1872]	139
17.	Saraswathy Vs. Babu , (2014)3SCC712 [Husband squarely comes within the ambit of Section 3 of the DV Act, 2005, which defines "domestic violence" in wide term-- the conduct of the parties even prior to the coming into force of the DV Act, could be taken into consideration while passing an order Under Section 18, 19 20 of DV Act]	145
18.	Ranjeet Goswami Vs. State of Jharkhand and Anr. (2014)1SCC588 [Going by the school leaving certificate since the Appellant was a juvenile on the date of occurrence, he can be tried only by the JJ Board]	153
19.	Harish Chander Drall Vs. Sureshwati 2014(9)SCALE1 [Extraordinary jurisdiction Under Article 142 of the Constitution of India to do complete justice between the parties by waiving the statutory period of six months as provided Under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, '1955 Act') and grant a decree of divorce by mutual consent]	157
20.	Baby Manji Yamada Vs. Union of India (UOI) and Anr (2008)13SCC518 [Commission constituted under CPCRA has right to inquire into complaints or take action suo motu notice relating to violation of child rights and development of children and provide relief in such matters with appropriate authorities—Surrogacy—Meaning, Scope, Origin and types discussed, explained and stated.]	161
21.	Shabnam Hashmi Vs. Union of India (UOI) and Ors. (2014)4SCC1 [Not an appropriate time and stage where the right to adopt and the right to be adopted can be raised to the status of a fundamental right and/or to understand such a right to be encompassed by Article 21 of the Constitution.-- Central Adoption Resource Agency has been playing a pivotal role, laying down norms both substantive and procedural, in the matter of inter as well as in country adoptions.]	167
22.	Laxmibai (Dead) thr. L.Rs. and Anr. Vs. Bhagwantbuva (Dead) thr. L.Rs. and Ors. (2013)4SCC97 [Adoption deed shall be held valid if persons who challenged adoption failed to discharge burden of rebuttal placed upon with respect to presumption of validity of adoption under provision of law]	173
23.	Poongodi and Anr. Vs. Thangavel , (2013)10SCC618 [It was not found that Section 125(3) of Cr.P.C. create bar or in any way effect entitlement of Claimant to arrears of maintenance--- proviso to Section 125(3) of Cr.P.C. did not extinguish or limit entitlement of Appellants to maintenance granted by trial Court]	187
24.	Shaleen Kabra Vs. Shiwani Kabra (2012)5SCC355 [Child Custody- paramount consideration, reiterated, should be welfare of the child]	191
25.	Ruchi Majoo Vs. Sanjeev Majoo (2011) 6SCC479 [Child custody- Territorial Jurisdiction under section 9, Guardian and Wards Act, 1890- Conflict of laws/Private International Law - Child custody- Repatriation of child on the principle of comity of courts- when not desirable- Paramourcy of welfare of minor]	195
26.	Ghisalal Vs. Dhapubai (Dead) by L.Rs. and Ors. AND: Dhapubai (Dead) Widow of Gopalji through L.Rs. Vs. Ghisalal and Ors. (2011)2SCC298 [Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956- Section7 proviso and 16- consent of wife- Mere presence at ceremony of adoption- whether amount to consent]	213
27.	Craig Allen Coates Vs. State and Anr. (2010)8SCC794 [Adoption of Indian children by foreigners- children with special needs- Constitution of Expert committee where reference to such committee is considered necessary]	227

Note: The emphasis on certain paragraphs or sentences in the judgments has been made in the form of bold fonts. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.